From: PETERSON Jenn L

Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA To: Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA Cc:

Subject: RE: Tissue TRV Methodology Comments/next steps

05/15/2008 09:06 AM

Attachments: PH TRV Derivation Methods JPComments.doc

Here they are Eric. They are more in "discussion" format, since we haven't been able to have an internal TRV discussion about the direction of the TRVs. I also had to write them up rather quickly, so I can expand on some issues at Monday's meeting if necessary.

----Original Message---From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov] Sent: Wednesday, May 14, 2008 10:06 PM To: PETERSON Jenn L Subject: Re: Tissue TRV Methodology Comments/next steps

Jennifer, can you send me your comments.

Thanks, Eric

Robert Neely <Robert.Neelv@no aa.gov>

05/14/2008 04:17

PETERSON Jenn L <PETERSON.Jenn@deq.state.or.us>, Jeremy\_Buck@fws.gov, Bob Dexter <br/>

bob@ridolfi.com>, James Meador 
James.Meador@noaa.gov>, Nancy Beckvar <narcy Seckvar@noaa.gov>
Jennifer Peers <JPeers@stratusconsulting.com> Jeremy Buck <jeremy\_buck@fws.gov>

Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Robert Gensemer

<rgensemer@parametrix.com>, Mary
Baker <Mary.Baker@noaa.gov> Subject

Tissue TRV Methodology Comments/next steps

Hey Folks,

Here's where I think we are. I spoke to Eric earlier today and he clarified the following based on his discussions with Burt:

- 1) EPA will revise the SSD document based on Parametrix review and will recirculate to the g'ment team soon (i.e., this week)
- 2) We will provide to EPA, by COB Friday, a summary of our concerns, questions, suggestions
- 3) We'll have a call with EPA on Monday (time, details TBD. Note: I'm available after 11). On that call, we will discuss our input, etc., and develop a process for finalizing the approach for derivation of fish tissue TRVs (which EPA will subsequently share with LWG).
- LWG says this issue must be resolved with EPA by July 1 to keep the BERA analyses on track.

After today, I'm out -- traveling to Chicago tomorrow and returning Sunday. Bob Dexter has taken a first stab at capturing our discussion points (this draft was circulated earlier this week), but that doesn't necessarily integrate all comments, etc. (For example, Jen Peterson sent

her draft comments to this group earlier today.) I'm hoping that Bob D. can help tie up loose ends a bit so we can get our input to EPA this week. I don't think it needs to be exhaustive in detail, just needs to capture the major issues so that they can be discussed productively and efficiently come Monday. (Note, if you don't represent NOAA but you'd like us to take stab at integrating your comments into the summary please also make sure you send your comments to Burt. That way you're less likely to see a concern slip through the cracks inadvertently.)

```
> Rob,
> I am forwarding my draft comments to you because I know tomorrow will
> be crazy and then I will be out of the office (although hopefully
> checking e-mail). I had to put these together quickly, so perhaps
> they could be clearer or more defined in certain areas. I am not sure
> how you will be compiling comments, but let me know if it would be
> easier (or if my questions are different from the group) where it
> would make more sense to send mine separately.
> -Jennifer
> --
Robert Neely
NOAA Office of Response and Restoration/ARD
c/o U.S. EPA
ECL 117
1200 6th Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101

206-553-2101 (EPA)
206-553-2101 (EPA)
206-617-5443 (Mobile)

Please note: I generally alternate work locations between EPA and NOAA on a weekly basis.

(See attached file: robert_neely.vcf)
```